AFFLICATION FOR		2. DATESUBMITTED Applicant Identifier					
FEDERAL ASSISTAN	ICE	2. DATESUBMITTED		Applicant Identifier			
		04-26-2000		<u> </u>			
.TYPE OF SUBMISSION		3. DATE RECEIVED BY	STATE	State Application Identifier			
	<u>Preappiication</u>						
Construction	Construction	4. DATE RECEIVED BY	Y FEDERAL AGENCY	Federal Identifier			
Non-Construction	Non-Construction	<u> </u>					
i. APPLICANT INFORMATION			10				
egal Name: U.S. Fish and Wildlife	Sarrice		Organizational Unit: CA-W Fish Health Center				
\ddress (give city, county, State, a			Name and telephone number of person to be contacted on matters				
24411 Oblemen Fish Hatch	ano zip cocej: 1917 - 1911 -		this application <i>give</i> a	·			
Anderson, CA 96007	mi ioi		J. Scott Foott	,			
Transpir di 50007			530-365-4271				
3, EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION	INUMBER (EN):	7		ANT: (enter appropriate/etter in box)			
				N			
			A State	H. IndependentSchool Dist.			
3. TYPE OF APPLICATION			B. County	I. State Controlled Institution of Higher Learning			
X New	Continuation	Revision	C. Municipal	J. Private University			
-		. —	O. Townshio	K IndianTribe			
f Revision, enter appropriate lette	r(s) in box(es)	_	E. Interstate	L Individual			
			F. Intermunicipal	M. Profit Organization			
	ease Award C. Increas	e Duration	G. Special District	N. Other (Specify) Fed. Covt.			
D. Decrease Duration Other(s)	vecnyj.		9. NAME OF FEDER	AL AGENCY			
1			3. IVAIVILOI I EDLIN	ALAGENCI			
			U.S. Fish and	Wildlife Service			
IO. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DO	DMESTIC ASSISTANCE N	UMBER:	11. DESCRIPTIVETI	TLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT:			
į.	Ī		Shalth Monit	orgina of Hatchery and Natural			
	l		Fall-run Chi	oring of Hatchery and Natural nook Juveniles in the San Joaquin			
TITLE	IFAT (Ollies, Osserlies, Ots		River System	ı and Delta			
12 AREAS AFFECTED BY PRO	JECT (Cities, Counties, Sta	ates, etc.):	_				
Merced, San Joaquin Co	unties, California						
13. PROPOSED PROJECT	14. CONGRESSIONAL DI	STRICTS O F					
LET KOI GGEDT KGGEGT	11.18						
Start Date Ending Date	a. Applicant		b. Project				
3/2001 7/2001							
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING			16. IS APPLICATION	SUBJECTTO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE			
			ORDER 12372 PI	ROCESS?			
Federal	\$	40,890 ·					
			a. YES. THIS PRE	APPLICATION/APPLICATION WAS MADE			
>.Applicant	\$	W	4	E TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372			
- 01-1-	S	w	PROCESS	S FOR REVIEW O N			
). State	à		DATE				
Local	\$	w ·	- DATE -	10.110.00			
Local	₩		h NO TI PROGR	AM IS NOTCOVERED BY E. 0.12372			
), Other	\$	W		GRAM HAS NOT BEEN SELECTED BY STATE			
'''			FOR RE				
. Program Income	5	00]				
13 2 11001110			17. IS THE APPLICA	NT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT?			
⊫ TOTAL	5	40,000	1 , , , ,	attach an explanation.			
		40,890					
				TION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE			
1			IE APPLICANT AND T	HE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE			
ATTACHED ASSURANCES IFT							
 I. Type Name of Authorized Repr J. Soott Foott 	esentative	b. Title Project Leader		c. Telephope Number 530–365–4271			
d. Signature of Authorized Repres	antotico	1 - Language Matthews		a Pota Cinnad			
u. Organica di Autriorizad Hapras	erilative			e. Date Signed			
Previous Edition Usable				Standard Form 424 (Rev. 7-97)			
Authorized for Local Reproduction	1			Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102			

PART E Certification Regarding Lobbying Certification for Contracts, Grants. Loans. and Cooperative Agreements

CHECK IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING AND THE AMOUNT EXCEEDS \$100,000: A FEDERAL GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT; SUBCONTRACT, OR SUBGRANT UNDER THE GRANT OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT

CHECK_ IF CERTIFICATION IS FOR THE AWARD OF A FEDERAL LOAN EXCEEDING THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000. OR A SUBGRANT OR SUBCONTRACT EXCEEDING \$100,000. UNDER THE LOAN.

The undersigned certifies to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

As the authorized certifying official,

DATE

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid. by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an ofter or employee of an agency, a Member of Congress, and ofter or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of. Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an der or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress. an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract. grant, loan. or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, "Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying," in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this ceriiication be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by Section 1352. title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each **such** failure.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL
SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFTING OFFICIAL
TYPED NAME AND TITLE

I hereby certify that the above specified certifications are true

4.5 PSP Cover Sheet (Attach to the front of each proposal)

Proposal Title:	Health Monitoring of	Hotchery a	and Natural Fall-run Chinook Jiveniles	٠,			
Applicant Name:							
Mailing Address:	CA-W Fish Healt	h Centerr, C	24411 Coleman Fish Hatchery Rt., Anderson, CA	9600			
				_			
1 GA:				_			
Email:	scott_foott@fws.(govvo		_			
Amount of funding	g requested: \$_40,8	90	for years				
Indicate the Topic	for which you are ap	plying (ch	eck only one box).				
© Fish Passage/	Fish Screens		□ Introduced Species				
Habitat Resto			Fish Management/Hatchery				
	hed Stewardship		□ Environmental Education				
Water Quality	ý						
Does the proposal	address a specified F	ocused Ac	tion? <u>x</u> yesno				
What county or co	ounties is the project le	ocated in?	M-2238G	_			
T. P. J. a.	1	1 / 1					
	aphic area of your pro						
□ Sacramento Riv			East Side Trib:				
□ Sacramento Iri	0:	;	Suisun Marsh and Bay				
San Joaquiii Ki	vei manisteni	- D	North Bay/South Bay:Landscape (entire Bay-Delta watershed)				
□ San Joaquin III □ Delta:	D:	_ ";	□ Landscape (entire Bay-Delta watershed)□ Other:				
□ Delta			Juici.				
Indicate the primar	y species which the p	roposal ad	dresses (check all that apply):				
💀 San Joaquin a	nd East-side Delta tri	butaries fa	ll-run chinook salmon				
Winter-run ch	inook salmon		Spring-run chinook salmon				
□ Late-fall run c	hinook salmon		Fall-run chinook salmon				
□ Delta smelt			Longfin smelt				
□ Splittail			Steelheadtrout				
☐ Green sturgeo	n		Striped bass				
. Migratory bire			All chinook species .				
Other:		□	All anadromous salmonids				
			hat the project addresses. Include page				
	ary 1999 version of E	RP Volun	ne I and II:				
	ropegation, Rag <u>a 471</u>		_	_			
Water Quality (Te	& Contamina	nts) Pages	64, 421	_			

Ind	licate the type of applicant (check only one State agency Public/Non-profit joint venture Local government/district University	box):	Federal agency Non-profit Private party Other:			
Ind □ ⊈	licate the type of project (check only one be Planning Monitoring Research	ox):	Implementation Education			
Ву	signing below, the applicant declares the fo	ollowi	ing:			
1.)	The truthfulness of all representations in	their p	proposal;'			
2.)	.Theindividual signing the form is entitled applicant (if the applicant is an entity or o					
3.)	The person submitting the application has read and understood the conflict of interest and confidentiality discussion in the PSP (Section 2.4) and waives any and all rights to privacy and confidentiality of the proposal on behalf of the applicant, to the extent as provided in the Section.					
-	a a					
	ted name of applicant					
Prini	Mur Jus					
Sign	ature of applicant					

United States Department of the Interior

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE CALIFORNIA- NEVADA FISH HEALTH CENTER 24411 Coleman Fish Hatchery Road Anderson, CA 96007

CALFED Bay-Delta Program 2001 Proposal Reviewers 1416N i i St., Suite 1155 Sacramento, CA 95814

May 8,2000

Dear Sir / Madam.

I believe that the Fish Health Center's two FY2001 research proposals;

Health monitoring & hatchery and natural fall-run chinook juveniles in the San Joaquin R.

system and Delta and

Health and Physiological effects of elevated water temperatures on Merced R. juveniles chinook during the parr-smolt transformation: Daily fluctuation and range representative of springwater temperatures in the San Joaquin system and Delta are exempt from the CALFED requirement for pre-project public notification. Neither of these research projects Tinvolve local entities or require land use changes.

I have also been advised the FWS cannot agree to a 10% retention clause for State funded projects and have attached specific language regarding this matter (H. COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS)...

Please contact me if there are any questions (530-365-4271). Thank you.

Sincerely,

J. Soott Foott Project Leader

D:\communications\calfednotificletter2001.wpd\mny82000\jsf

H. COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) cannot agree to a standard clause requested for State funded projects. Attachment D, Terms and Conditions for State Proposition 204 Funds, Section 3, states "PerformanceRetention: Disbursements shall be made on the basis of costs incurred to date, less ten percent of the total invoice amount. Disbursement of the ten percent retention shall be made either: (1) upon the Grantee's satisfactory completion of a discrete project task (ten percent retention for task will be reimbursed); or (2) upon completion of the project and Grantee's compliance with project closure requirements specified by CALFED (ten percent retention for entire project will be disbursed)".

The Services's authorization to enter into agreements with non Federal entities was changed in **FY** 2000. Our FY2000 Appropriations bill authorizes the Service to enter into contracts with State agencies when 'advancepayment to the Service is not possible. In accordance with the requirements imposed by Congress in the FY2000 Appropriations bill and report language, the Services Director must approve approject when advance payment is not possible and certify that payments will be made in full by the State within 90 days after the Service issues an invoice.

Specifically, the 10% retention clause cannot allow timely payments for the following'reasons: In ow Federal Financial System(FFS) accounting program, a periodic invoice (either quarterly or monthly depending on the terms of the contract) is automatically issued from our finance center based on actual expenditures of the Service on a project. Invoices include a payment due date on the invoice and when payment is not received in full by that due date, the system automatically shows the unpaid balance as delinquent. Depending on how delinquent the payment is, interest, penalty and administrative charges may also accrue. With 10% retention withheld on'eachinvoice, the 10% retention amount then causes applicable invoice record in FFS to be partly delinquent and remain delinquent until the project or individual tasks identified in the contract are completed and, the retention is released.

The Service's Finance Center must report to the Department of Treasury if the Service is owed funds by any entity. Therefore, when accounts remain delinquent due to the 10% retention of payments owed the Service, that delinquency continues to be reported to Treasury.

The Service has previously entered into agreements with the State of Californiathat do not contain the 10% retention clause. We have asked the States Deputy Attorney General (see attached letter) to provide clarifying guidance to the Department of Water Resources that is general in scope, which can also be applied to contracts related to the CALFED program.

Or offices will continue to work with the State closely on State funded projects. If the State is not satisfied with the work performed by the Service, the State project manager should contact the Service's project manager to correct the performance problem. If needed, upon notification interim billings can be canceled until the State is satisfied with the Services performance.

We can comply with all other State and Federal standard clauses.

Health monitoring of Hatchery and Natural Fall-run Chinook Juveniles in the San Joaquin River System and Delta, April - June 2001.

Primary Contact:

J. Scott Foott, PhD

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

California - Nevada Fish Health Center

24411 Coleman Hatchery Road

Anderson, CA 96007

Phone:

530-365-4271

Fax:

530-365-7150

Email:

Scott-Foott@fws.gov

Type of Organization / Tax status:

Federal Government / Tax exempt

Executive Summary

This project would build on data collected in the 2000 (April - June) monitoring project and will characterize the health and physiological condition of both natural and hatchery juvenile chinook (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in the San Joaquin River System and Delta. We propose to increase the number of juvenile natural chinook sampled from 90 to a maximum of 270. The increased sampling would occur at ongoing monitoring operations in the Stanislaus, Tuolumne, and Merced Rivers as well as the San Joaquin (rm 50 - *80*). Sampling would occur in late March - July 1,2001 and be in conjunction with the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) bio-sampling program. The requested funds total \$40,890.

Proiect Description

Weekly samples would be collected from a variety of river sites (as mentioned above) and the Delta (Chipp's Island trawl) in conjunction with the IEP and other bio-sampling efforts. Laboratory tests for selected salmonid pathogens and physiological indicators of energy reserves, immunodefences, and smolt development would be conducted by the California-Nevada Fish Health Center. An individual fish database would be utilized in the study in order to track disease and physiological dysfunction to specific stocks, water temperatures of a given location, and sample date. Quarterly and a final report would be produced by the principal investigator as well as oral presentation(s) of the study results.

Location

Fish collections will occur at the California Department of Fish & Game Merced River Fish Facility (MRFF), at various Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) beach seining sites on the San Joaquin River between the confluence of the Stanislaus River and Dois Reis Park (ca. river mile 50 - 74), lower sections of the Stanislaus, Tuolomne, and Merced, and from Chipp's Island trawls conducted by IEP biologists.

MRFF Snelling, Merced Co.

SJ River RM 50 - 74, San Joaquin Co.
Stanislaus, Tuolomne, and Merced R.
Chipps RM 18, Solano/Contra Costra Co.

One pre-release sampling
Bi-weekly, Late March - June 30
Bi-weekly Late March - April 15
Bi-weekly, May - June 30

Ecological / Biological Objectives

Declining chinook populations in the Central Valley has prompted an intense restoration effort of this valuable resource and a key element of the State's aquatic biodiversity. Health and fitness of juvenile salmon out-migrants ("smolts") are major determinates of their performance and survival. Infectious disease can influence survival due to both direct mortality and reduced physical performance (predator avoidance, saltwater adaptation, etc.). Multi-year, comprehensive fish pathogen surveys need to be conducted on San Joaquin River system chinook to ascertain the effect of fish disease on this population. Contam'inants and elevated water temperature have been identified in the CALFED process as stressors for salmonids in the San Joaquin River and Delta. Both of these stressors would have the potential for immunosuppressive effects. Hatchery-wild fish interaction is a controversial topic in natural resource management. The criteria used to define a quality hatchery fish is being reviewed and debated among hatchery and fish biologists. It will be important to profile the physiological condition of the natural population in order to compare with hatchery fish. Similarly, a comparison of the pathogens present in both populations is needed to either support or refute the charge that hatchery fish spread disease to natural populations.

Linkage

No multi-year, comprehensive fish pathogen survey or physiological evaluation of juvenile Fall-run chinook in the San Joaquin River and Delta have been reported to date. This project is primarily directed at the topic of fish management/ hatchery operations of a CALFED priority species (pg 421, Artificial Fish Propagation), however, it also addresses the following ERP topics (Feb. 1999 revised ERP Vol.1 and 2):

a) Water quality - evaluation of the biological processes governed by stream temperature. (pg64). Specifically, any correspondence of disease incidence or impaired physiological performance to elevated water temperatures.

b) Water qualify- evaluation of the effects of contaminants on Fall-run chinook juveniles in San Joaquin River and Delta (pg 421). Specifically, the occurrence **of** histologicallesions in liver and kidney **that** are reported **biomarkers of** contaminant exposure.

Systemwide ecosystem benefits

Data from this project will complement the quantitative efforts of the IEP bio-sampling program by providing qualitative in-sight into the health and condition of the juvenile chinook population. Data can be used by the CDFG in development of optimal hatchery operations for the basin.

Technical feasibility and Timing

Lethal sampling of up to 600 juvenile chinook (270 natural and 330 marked hatchery fish) will be required for this project as only internal organ samples can be used for pathogen assays. At the time of writing, the only listed chinook stock in California which would require a NMFS take permit (section 10) are Winter-run chinook. We do not expect to encounter this stock in the San Joaquin River. Marked fish, already lethally sampled by IEP biologists for tag recovery, will used for this project. We anticipate that our project will added to the IEP collection permits. Upon acceptance of this proposal, both CDFG inland fisheries branch and the National Marine Fisheries Service will be contacted to communicate the intent of the project and coordinate any amendment to the Stockton Office IEP permits. Extreme weather conditions or high river flow situation may pose a constraints for any fish collection activity. The ability to collect adipose fin clipped (cwt) chinook will vary considerable with sample period and site.

Methodology

Proposed sites and schedule of sampling; The study will begin in late-March and end in early July. This time frame was chosen to target fish ≥ 70 mm in fork length, so as to accommodate the various assays and encompass the main smolt migration period. Beach seining, random net "grabs" from hatchery rearing units, rotary screw traps in the tributaries and trawl methods will be used for fish collection. The sample goal for each general site (river or trawl) per week will be 30 fall chinook juveniles. The maximum sample size are outlined below:

San Joaquin R. <u>naturals</u> (March -time of hatchery release)
San Joaquin R. marked hatchery
Chipp's trawl marked hatchery
180
150

It is important to note that the number of marked fish collected per week may vary considerably. Total sample numbers and methods were primarily selected to provide statistically valid pathogen prevalence data. The sample size necessary *to* collect one affect fish, in a population at least 100,000 in size with a presumed prevalence of

infection ≥ 2 % and with a confidence level of p= 0.95, is 147 (Ossiander & Wedemeyer, 1973). The number of samples to actually *detect* the agent is related to the sensitivity of the test and tend to increase the collection numbers. Physiological measurement sub-samples w be from 6 - 12 fish per general site on a bi-weekly basis. A 30 - 60 fish sample will be collected from the Merced R. Fish Facility prior to the May release.

Field - Captured chinook will be guickly killed in an overdose of benzocaine. After a brief external examine for organosomatic characteristics, the caudal peduncle will be cut and blood collected in a heparinized microhematocrittube. The blood sample will either be centrifuged (10.000 RPM, 10 min.) for measurement of hematocrit, leukocrit, and collection of plasma. Plasma samples will be held on ice and later transferred to -80° C. Kidney tissue will be inoculated onto BHI agar (bacterial assay). Portions of the kidney and liver will collected for 2-fish pooled viral assays. The posterior kidney of natural and some hatchery fish will be removed for R. salmoninarum ELISA (fish > 100 mm FL) or Fluorescent antibody test on imprints (< 100 mm FL). If no R. salmoninarum infection is detected in MRFF pre-liberation samples, there will be a reduction in the number kidney samples tested from subsequent CWT captures. Gill, intestine, pyloric cecae, kidney, and liver from a subset of the collection will be preserved in Davidson's fixative for histological processing. Carcasses (Head removed for CWT reading) from a subset of the collection group will frozen for later lipid analysis. Each fish will be identified with a unique number for tracking of lab samples and CWT identity (IEP activity). Heads from the natural chinook will be tested for Myxobolus cerebralis spores. Heads from marked chinook will be given to IEP biologist for stock identification. Refrigerated samples will be expressed shipped to CA-NV Fish Health Center twice per week basis. Target sample numbers per assay are presented in Table 1.

Laboratory - Manual chemistry tests will be used to test specific plasma samples for total protein (5 μ plasma in microplate assay, Pierce BCA Protein Kit 23725) and electrophoretic profile (Ciba- Corning agarose gel kit - 7 fractions & Albumin: Globulin ratio) OR albumin tests only. Histological samples will be processed for 5 μm Haematoxylon and Eosin slides. Evaluations will key on any liver lesions (Fatty changes of hepatocytes, Degenerative nuclei, Hepatocyte hypertrophy, Hepatocytomegaly, Basophilic regeneration zones, abnormal tissue pigments, Neoplastic changes). Kidney tissue will also be closely examined for necrotic changes to the nephron and interstitium. The anterior 1/3 of the fish minus the head will be processed for virological assays and *R. salmoninarum* ELISA or DFAT tests will be performed on posterior kidney. One method for comparing the groups could involve identifying the iowest quartile of each measurement type and the number of fish in each sample which fall below it (e.g. if 0.500 is determined to be the lowest quartile for all leukocrit values, how many fish in a given sample fall below this value are judged abnormal).

Table 1.

Assays

General method

Pathogens:

R. salmoninarum

cultured bacteria

virus

parasites

ELISA or Fluorescent antibody test BHI agar, biochemical identifications

cell culture, dot blot identifications

histology, pepsin-trypsin digest (head)

Physiological Measurements:

Energy reserves Immune defense

Smolt development

Contaminant

% lipid, condition factor, visceral fat scores

plasma protein (total & Albumin: globulin ratio)

Gill ATPase assay

Liver, gill, and kidney histological examination

able 2 Target sample sizes for each assay per collection site / week._

able Z Target Sample	sizes for each assay per colle	CLIOITSILE? WEEK
Test	sample No. I collection	Total sample No. for study
Virus - pooled kidney sample / EPC - PEG pretreatment	2 fish pool x 10 = 20	20 collections <i>x20</i> = 400
Bacteria - kidney / BHIA		**may be reduced in CWT out- migrants if MRFF pre-lib sample is
R.salmoninarum ELISA or DFAT kidnev sample		negative
Histology - lesions# parasites in gill, intestine / pyloric cecae,kidney, liver	10 fish	200 fish
Organosomatic Analysis: Hct, Lct, externals, WVLng, HSI	20 fish	20 collections <i>x20</i> = 400
Energy Measurements: % lipid, Condition factors, visceral fat swre	5 fish	100 - 150 fish
Immune defenses: plasma protein concentration - albumin/ globulin or Electrophoretic profile	5 plasma tests	100 - 150 fish
Smolt Development: gill ATPase	8- 10 fish	160 - 200 fish

Local involvement

Collections will be in conjunction with IEP monitoring activities, other interested monitoring biologists and with the permission of the CDFG (Merced **R.** Fish Facility). **No** local entity involvement or impacts are foreseen.

Cost

Total request for the 5 month (field, lab, datal repoiting) project is \$40,890. Categorical breakdown **is** as follows:

Personnel:

(GS9 and GS7 biologists) 560 hrs + 20hrs O/T estimate	\$23,000
Supplies Isample shipments:	\$15,000
Travel:	\$ 1,750
3% FWS overhead charge	\$ 1,140

Table 3. Budget

Table o.	Duaget						
task	Labor	salary /bene	service contracto	materials	mísc.	O/H 3%	total
1.	18	\$ 1000	\$0	\$15000	\$ 500	\$495	\$16995
2	480	\$13000	\$0	\$0	\$1000	\$365	\$14365
3/4	480	\$ 8500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$255	\$8755
5	40	\$ 500	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$15	\$515
6	**	\$ 0	\$0	\$0	\$ 250	\$10	\$260
totals	1048hr	\$23,000	\$0	\$15,000	\$1750_	\$1140	\$40890

Table 4. Quarterly Budget

task	oct-dec99	jan-mar00	apr-jun00	jul-sep00	oct-dec00	totals
1	\$0	\$0	\$16995	\$0	\$0	\$16995
2	\$0	\$0	\$14365	\$0	\$0	\$14365
3 / 4	\$0	\$0	\$ 8755	\$0	\$0	\$8755
5	\$0	\$0	\$ 515	\$0	\$0	\$515
6	\$0	\$0	\$ 260	\$0	\$0	\$260

<u>Schedule</u>		
Task 1	Acquire supplies / train bio-sampler	March 1,2001
Task 2	Collect samples	March 15 - July 1,2001
Task '3	Perform lab assays, ship supplies	April 15 - July 30,2001
Task 4	Input data into spreadsheets	April 15 - July 30, 2001
Task 5	Prepare quarterly &final report	June30 & Sept 30,2001
		for final report
Task 6	Present data for CALFED cooperators	Oct 2001

Cost-sharing

Principle investigation salary for project estimated \$9,200 and fish collection by IEP biologist estimated at over \$50,000.

Applicant Qualifications

John Scott Foott

Education

PhD, Comparative Pathology 1989 University of California, Davis B.S. Biol. Sciences (Marine Biol.) 1982 California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo

Professional Experience

USFWS

1989 - present

Fish Health Biologist & Project Leader

Idaho Dept. Fish & Game 1987-89

Fishery Pathologist

Selected Reports

Foott, JS, JW Williamson, and KC True. 1999. Health, physiology, and migration characteristics of Iron Gate Hatchery Chinook, 1995 Releases. US Fish & Wildlife Service, FY95 Investigational Report, CA-NV FHC, Anderson, CA.

Foott, JS and John D. Williamson. 1996. Health and Physiology Monitoring of Coleman NFH Fall-run Chinook Smolts (FCS-BCW-95-COL) : Component of 1996 Marked Out-migrant Study. US Fish &Wildlife Service, FY96 Investigational Report, CA-NV FHC, Anderson, CA.

Foott, JS, A. Miller, R. Steiner, and RP Hedrick. 1992. Erythrocytic Inclusion Body Syndrome (EIBS) infection of chinook salmon in Idaho. J Aquatic Animal Health, **4**: 306-308.

Foott, JS and RP Hedrick. 1990. Blood parameters and immune status of rainbow trout with proliferative kidney disease. J Aquatic Animal Health, 2: 141-148.

95/98 Health monitoring of hatchery and natural fall-run chinook juveniles in fhe ${\sf San}$ Joseph R, system and Delta

Health and Physiological effects of elevated water temperatures on Merced R. juveniles chinook during the parr-smolttransformation: Daily fluctuation and range representative af spring water temperatures in the San Joaquin system and Delta

Environmental Compliance Checklist

All applicants must fill out this Environmental Compliance Checklist. Applications must contain answers to the following questions to be responsive and to be considered for funding. <u>Failure to answer these questions and include them with the application will result in the application being considered nonresponsive and not considered for funding.</u>

1.	Do any of the (CEQA), the	e actions included in National Environm	the proposal require compliance w ental Policy Act (NEPA), or both?	ith either the	e California Bavir	onmental Quality Act
			• , .	V		٠

If you answered yet to # 1, identify the lead governmental agency for CEQA/NEPA compliance.

Lead Agency

3. If you answered no to #1, explain why CEQA/NEPA compliance is not required for the actions in the proposal,

No land use or water manipulation. Research on Mercel R. Fish Tocility chinook with the approval of CDFG.

If CEQA/NEPA compliance is required, describe how the project will comply with either or both of these laws.
 Describe where the project is in the compliance process and the expected date of completion.

5. Will the applicant require access across public or private property that the applicant does not own to accomplish the activities in the proposal?

VES

YES

X NO

If yes, the applicant must attach written permission for access from the relevant property owner(s). Failure to include written permission for access may result in disqualification of the proposal during the review process. Research and monitoring field projects for which specific field locations have not been identified will be required to provide access oeeds and permission for access with 30 days of notification of approval.

					٠.					
LOCAL					-					
Conditional use permit			•			•				
Variance		$\overline{}$								
Subdivision Map Act approval		_								
Grading permit									,	
General plan amendment		~								
Specific plan approval										
Rezone										
Williamson Act Contract cancellation										
Other (please specify)				•	•					
None required										
. one required		<u></u>	,							
STATE								٠.		
CESA Compliance			Manage Aug	_						
Streambed alteration permit		_	(CDFC	•						
CWA § 401 certification	**		(CDFC				٠.		٠, ٠	
Coastal development permit		$\overline{}$	(RWQ				٠.			
Reclamation Board approval		_	(Coast	al Commi	ssion/	BCDC	j.		7	

(DPC, ECDC)

(ACOE)

DPC = Delta Protection Commission
CWA = Clean Water Act
CESA = California Endangered Species Act
USFWS = U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
ACOE = U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

Notification

FEDERAL ESA Consultation

Other

(please specify) None required

CWA § 404 permit

None required

(please specify)

Rivers & Harbors Act permit

Other

ESA = Endangered Species Act
CDFG = California Department of Fish and Game
RWQCB = Regional Water Quality Control Hoard
BCDC= Bay Conservation and Development Comm.

	Health monitoring of hatchery and na	tural fall-run chinook ji	uveniles $ extbf{\emph{I}} n$ the San Joaqui	n R. systemand Delta	
05/	Health and Physiological effects of elements of the Physiological effects of elements part and Delta				-
,	- Josephin System and Detail				
Laı	nd Use Checklist			•	
foll <u>incl</u>	applicants must fill out this Land Use owing questions to be responsive and the state of the sta	to be considered for	funding. Failure to an	wer these questions and	he
	# · ·	٠, .			
ì.	Do the actions in the proposal involve phy or restrictions in land use (i.e. conservations)	ysical changes to the lar on eastment or placem	ent of land in a wildlife ref	getation, or breeching lever uge)?	5)
	YES		NO .		
2.	If NO to # 1, explain what type of actions		oposal (i.e., research only, p	lanning only).	
	Research only	•			
3.	If YES to # 1, what is the proposed land t	zse change or restrictio	on under the proposal?		• .
4.	M YES to# 1, is the land currently under	a Williamson Act cont	tract?		
s.	If YES to # 1, answer the following:	•			
	The same of the sa			* . * .	
	Current land use Current zoning	_			
	Current general plan designation	·			
6.	If YES to #1, is the land classified as Prin Department of Conservation Important I	ne Farmland, Farmlan Farmland Maps?	d of Statewide Importance	or Unique Farmland on the	
			· · · ·	,	
	YES	NO .	DON'T KNOW		
7.	If YES to #1, how many acres of land wi	il be subject to physics	l change or land use restri	ctions under the proposal?	
		• .			
3.	If YES to # 1, is the property extremtly b	eing commercially farm	ned or grazed?		-
	YES		NO		
9.	If YES to #8, ₩hat are	the number of emp the total number o	ployees/acra		

10. Will th	e applicant acquire any i				: •	
		interest in land under th	e proposal co.	***	:	
YES	-	٠ ،	Table (166	utte or a cons	eryation easer	Menri 2
			. •	· .		
11. What er	atity/organization will be			NO		٠.,
	Permanton will be	ld the interest?				
TIES E	o#10, answer the follow	ing: · .	٠.		-	
Total nu	mh		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	. : .		
Number	of acres to be acquired in of acres to be acquired in	ired ander proposed		·:		:
Number of	of acres to be acquired in of acres to be subject to c	I fee	_		• •	
	de sopleet (0 c	onservation easement	_			
13. For all		-			-	
will:	sposals involving physics	U'changer to a				
	oposals involving physics manage the propert	or land or	restriction in l	and non door		
•	Шападо ф.			, ne me, descr	the what entit	y or organizatio
		7 '				
	provide operations :	and much	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		and maintenance service	5			
	 conduct monitoring 			-		
						-
	· . ·					-
14. For land						
or, land acq	nisitions (fee title or case	mente) with				
		man expeting man	er rights also)	M nomber to	-	
YES				e seddired?		
15. Doge st.	4.34	•	-	_	٠	
Does the appl	icant propose any modifi	ications as ""	NO			
		cattons to the water righ	it or change in	the des		, .
YES	icant propose any modifi			or delivery o	(the water?	
	, .	•	<u>×</u>	_		
6. If wee	• .	,	NO.		· . ·	,
6. If YES to # 15,	describe					
						2
			_			
					• •	
				•	•	